Locally Aggressive Connective Tissue Tumors

Abstract: In this review, we highlight the complexities of the natural history, biology, and clinical management of three intermediate connective tissue tumors: desmoid tumor (DT) or aggressive fibromatosis, tenosynovial giant cell tumor (TGCT) or diffuse-type pigmented villonodular synovitis (dT PVNS), and giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB). Intermediate histologies include tumors of both soft tissue and bone origin and are locally aggressive and rarely metastatic. Some common aspects to these tumors are that they can be locally infiltrative and/or impinge on critical organs, which leads to disfigurement, pain, loss of function and mobility, neurovascular compromise, and occasionally life-threatening consequences, such as mesenteric, bowel, ureteral, and/or bladder obstruction. DT, PVNS, and GCTB have few and recurrent molecular aberrations but, paradoxically, can have variable natural histories. A multidisciplinary approach is recommended for optimal management. In DT and PVNS, a course of observation may be appropriate, and any intervention should be guided by symptoms and/or disease progression. A surgical approach should take into consideration the infiltrative nature, difficulty in obtaining wide margins, high recurrence rates, acute and chronic surgical morbidities, and impact on quality of life. There are similar concerns with radiation, which especially relate to optimal field and transformation to high-grade radiation-associated sarcomas. Systemic therapies must be considered carefully in light of acute and chronic toxicities. Although standard and novel therapies are promising, many unanswered questions, such as duration of therapy and optimal end points to evaluate efficacy of drugs in clinical practice and trials, exist. Predictive biomarkers and novel clinical trial end points, such as volumetric measurement, magnetic resonance imaging T2 weighted mapping, nuclear imaging, and patient-reported outcomes, are in development and will require validation in prospective trials.

Desmoid tumors

Desmoid tumors (DTs), or aggressive fibromatosis, are classified as benign tumors because of their lack of metastatic potential and low risk of mortality.1,2 The term benign is deceptive, though, because it fails to highlight the impact of the tumor on morbidity as a result of its locally infiltrative nature. DTs can occur in any anatomic location but frequently arise in the abdominal wall, neurovascular bundle of the limb and shoulder girdle, root of the mesentery, and head and neck structures.1 Dependent on location, the presentation can vary from asymptomatic to severe pain, deformity, swelling, loss of function, bowel obstruction or perforation, and/or threat to vital organs (Figure 1A and B). A DT is a rare tumor; its estimated annual incidence is 900 patients in the United States. It affects young adults in their 20s and 30s and occurs slightly more often in women. DTs result in significant psychological and economic effects on the lives of young adults, and these effects manifest in chronic use of opioids, social isolation, changes to education and employment, sleeplessness, anxiety, and depression often related to uncertainties in medical management and the unpredictable natural history of the disease.4,5 This unpredictability is illustrated by the rapid growth phase of the tumor and the periods of stabilization followed by a second or third growth phase and spontaneous regressions.6

Given the complexity of this disease, the successful management of the patient with a DT is best undertaken by...
a multidisciplinary team with sarcoma expertise.\textsuperscript{7} When this is not feasible, expert guidelines and/or communication with a sarcoma expert may be helpful. Until recently, surgery was the first-line standard of care. Surgery increasingly has fallen out favor; many studies now advocate, when appropriate, an initial period of observation.\textsuperscript{8–10} A prospective, observational study is ongoing to address this possibility (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02547831).

Exceptions to observation include lifethreatening conditions that are surgically reversible. Surgical or other interventions should be contemplated only if the patient is symptomatic or is having rapid disease progression, or if the disease is threatening vital organs. Given the infiltrative nature, risk of 3-year postsurgical recurrence may be as high as 40\% to 50\%, as calculated by an online nomogram.\textsuperscript{11} Interestingly, status of surgical margins (ie, negative or positive) as a prognostic factor to predict risk of recurrence is not predictive. Therefore, enthusiastic attempts to achieve wide margins should be curbed, because this effort may be futile and may result only in significant morbidity. Even minor morbidity from surgery (ie, abdominal wall mesh complications) must be weighed against the morbidity of the disease, which occasionally can be indolent.

**Key points**

- Surgical or other interventions should be contemplated only if the patient is symptomatic or is having rapid disease progression, or if the disease is threatening vital organs.
- Even minor morbidity from surgery (ie, abdominal wall mesh complications) must be weighed against the morbidity of the disease, which occasionally can be indolent.
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Key points

- The mitotic rate is variable but lacks cytologic atypia or nuclear hyperchromasia. Immunohistochemistry is positive for nuclear β-catenin (CTNBBI) and is pathognomonic, although only a subpopulation of cells (approximately 30%) is positive. Genomic sequencing reveals trisomies in chromosome 8 and/or 20, but the functional or prognostic significance of this is unclear. Virtually all patients with DTs harbor inactivating mutations in either CTNBBI (90%, somatic) or APC (9%, germline), which are termed sporadic or hereditary, respectively. The presence of these mutations in turn result in aberrant ubiquitin-mediated degradation of β-catenin and subsequent accumulation in the nucleus and constitutive activation of downstream transcription genes. Preclinical studies showed overexpression of c-KIT and PDGFRAB, which led to two phase II studies of imatinib that showed response rates of 5% to 19% and a 6-month progression-free survival rate of 63%. A retrospective study of 24 patients treated with sorafenib showed a response rate of 20% and improvement in symptoms in approximately 70% of patients. The first phase III randomized study of sorafenib versus placebo is ongoing, and the results are expected to inform many unanswered questions in the field. This and other prospective studies can help estimate the rate of spontaneous regressions in patients randomly assigned to placebo or observation. Pre- and post-treatment biopsies can help elucidate mechanisms of response to sorafenib. A recent single-arm study of a γ-secretase inhibitor showed promising results in patients with progressive DTs. Giant cell tumor of bone

Giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) is a benign, osteolytic tumor that predominately affects young adults. GCTB is a rare condition and accounts for 3% to 5% of all bone tumors. The tumors can arise at almost any bony site but most often affect

chemotherapies (eg, liposomal doxorubicin, methotrexate, and vinorelbine) all are considered reasonable first choices. For less-aggressive DTs, endocrine therapy (eg, tamoxifen) may be appropriate. Efforts to correlate estrogen or progesterone receptor status to activity have been unrevealing. These treatment recommendations are informed by single-institution, retrospective, nonrandomized, studies that use small sample sizes. Moreover, the mechanism of action for any of these classes of drugs remains unknown. In the past decade, tyrosine kinase inhibitors have come to play an increasingly frontline role because of their convenience and limited long-term toxicities. Preclinical studies showed overexpression of c-KIT and PDGFRAB, which led to two phase II studies of imatinib that showed response rates of 5% to 19% and a 6-month progression-free survival rate of 63%. A retrospective study of 24 patients treated with sorafenib showed a response rate of 20% and improvement in symptoms in approximately 70% of patients. The first phase III randomized study of sorafenib versus placebo is ongoing, and the results are expected to inform many unanswered questions in the field. This and other prospective studies can help estimate the rate of spontaneous regressions in patients randomly assigned to placebo or observation. Pre- and post-treatment biopsies can help elucidate mechanisms of response to sorafenib. A recent single-arm study of a γ-secretase inhibitor showed promising results in patients with progressive DTs. Giant cell tumor of bone

There is no standard of care for systemic therapies in DT. A wide class of drugs has shown variable degrees of activity. These include anti-estrogen or -progesterone treatments, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cytotoxic chemotherapies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and novel investigational agents. The choice for first-line systemic therapy should be patient centered and should be weighed carefully against patient convenience, acute and chronic toxicities, and urgency to alleviate symptoms. In patients with symptoms or in those with rapidly progressive disease or threats to vital organs, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (eg, imatinib, sorafenib) or cytotoxic

(Figure 1C and D). Microscopically, elongated, slender, spindle-shaped cells of uniform appearance are present, set in a collagenous matrix that contains prominent blood vessels (Figure 1E). The mitotic rate is variable but lacks cytologic atypia or nuclear hyperchromasia. Immunohistochemistry is positive for nuclear β-catenin (CTNBBI) and is pathognomonic, although only a subpopulation of cells (approximately 30%) is positive. Genomic sequencing reveals trisomies in chromosome 8 and/or 20, but the functional or prognostic significance of this is unclear. Virtually all patients with DTs harbor inactivating mutations in either CTNBBI (90%, somatic) or APC (9%, germline), which are termed sporadic or hereditary, respectively. The presence of these mutations in turn result in aberrant ubiquitin-mediated degradation of β-catenin and subsequent accumulation in the nucleus and constitutive activation of downstream transcription genes. Preclinical studies showed overexpression of c-KIT and PDGFRAB, which led to two phase II studies of imatinib that showed response rates of 5% to 19% and a 6-month progression-free survival rate of 63%. A retrospective study of 24 patients treated with sorafenib showed a response rate of 20% and improvement in symptoms in approximately 70% of patients. The first phase III randomized study of sorafenib versus placebo is ongoing, and the results are expected to inform many unanswered questions in the field. This and other prospective studies can help estimate the rate of spontaneous regressions in patients randomly assigned to placebo or observation. Pre- and post-treatment biopsies can help elucidate mechanisms of response to sorafenib. A recent single-arm study of a γ-secretase inhibitor showed promising results in patients with progressive DTs. Giant cell tumor of bone
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the spine, distal femur, proximal tibia, and distal radius (Figure 2A). GCTB belongs to a family of giant cell rich bony tumors, which includes chondroblastoma, aneurysmal bone cysts, and giant cell granuloma of the jaw. Histopathologically, these tumors comprise two cellular populations, a tumorigenic stromal population with osteoblast-like features and a second population of abundant monocyte-derived, multinucleated osteoclastic cells (Figure 2B).  

Although notionally benign, the disease is characterized by a broad range of clinical behavior. Presentation is usually accompanied by local pain and swelling, occasionally with pathologic fracture or neurologic symptoms according to the anatomic site. There are three stages of disease: intraosseous lesions with good bony margins; intraosseous lesions with bone thinning; and extraosseous extension. A curious feature of benign GCTB is the phenomenon of pulmonary implants, most often observed after instrumentation. These lung deposits are not true metastases and do not necessarily imply malignancy. However, perhaps 1% to 3% of GCTBs will undergo true malignant degeneration and effectively become giant cell–rich osteosarcomas.  

Nearly 20 years ago, the signaling pathways by which osteoblasts induce the formation of osteoclasts were identified. Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand (RANKL) is a tumor necrosis factor family member secreted by osteoblasts, and it binds via its receptor (ie, RANK) to cells of the monocyte lineage to induce osteoclastic differentiation. RANKL expression is in increased in tumors within GCTBs and is presumed to mediate recruitment of osteoclasts within developing tumors. The osteoclastic population is responsible for the osteolysis seen in GCTBs. The primary basis for increased expression of RANKL is not known. Genomic studies have identified recurrent mutations in H3F3A (Gly34Trp or Gly34Leu) in 90% of GCTBs. 

The treatment of localized GCTB is primarily surgical—from intralesional curettage with or without local adjuvants to en bloc resection and even amputation. The recurrence rate for surgical resection ranges from 20% to 40%, largely dependent on the extent of surgery, the margins, and the site of disease. Radiotherapy has been effectively used in situations of multiple recurrences of tumors or surgically intractable GCTB, but has been associated with a variable but significant risk of transformation.
Denosumab is a wholly human antibody with high specificity for RANKL. Two prospectively conducted studies have established the clinical efficacy of denosumab in GCTBs. The first of these was a single-arm phase II study of 35 patients with recurrent or locally advanced unresectable GCTB. The pathologic complete response rate (defined as the absence of osteoclast-like giant cells) was 85%. Notably, although giant cells were effectively eradicated from most treated tumors, RANKL-positive stromal cells remain visible.

A second study confirmed these findings in a much larger group of patients with advanced disease. This study, which also was a nonrandomized phase II trial, examined the effect of denosumab in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant setting. Convincing data suggested that administration of denosumab before resection resulted in down-staging of the extent of surgery. However, the absence of a control arm and the lack of long-term outcomes precluded insights into whether denosumab reduced relapse rates.

**Tenosynovial giant cell tumor**

Tenosynovial giant cell tumor (TGCT) is a rare, often locally aggressive neoplasm that affects young patients and tends to affect a single joint, tendon sheath, or bursae (Figure 3A and B). TGCT is characterized by synovial proliferation and the development of tumors that mostly are composed of multinucleated giant cells with osteoclast-like phenotypes, macrophages, histiocytes, and other inflammatory cells (Figure 3C–E). A neoplasic clone that overexpresses colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), often because of a translocation that involves the CSF1 gene on chromosome 1 (1p13), is present in the majority of TGCTs. CSF1 can promote growth of the neoplastic clone in an autocrine fashion. However, the neoplastic clone represents only the minority of cells in the tumor; rather, the bulk of a TGCT consists of CSF1-receptor (CSF1R)-bearing cells that are recruited to the joint space. With time, these inflammatory cells can cause repeat hemarthroses, subchondral cystic changes, and bone erosions. These insults then can lead to joint destruction, significant pain, swelling, decreases in range of motion, and stiffness.

There are two types of TGCTs: localized (nodular) type and the more clinically aggressive and infiltrative diffuse type (dtTGCT). dtTGCT mostly affects the knee, but it can be seen in any joint, including the hip, ankle, elbow, and jaw. dtTGCT is not a malignancy per se and is rarely life threatening. However, it can confer significant morbidity and can greatly alter an individual’s functionality because of significant pain, medication use, dysmotility, disability, and lost work hours. In the past, few treatment options were available for patients with dtTGCTs. Patients were treated symptomatically and frequently underwent recurrent surgeries that were often incomplete and that had recurrence rates of 30% to 40%.

The identification of the role of CSF1 in the pathogenesis and propagation of dtTGCT has been revolutionization of treatments for patients with this rare neoplasm. The first case report to demonstrate activity of imatinib mesylate, a multitargeted tyrosine kinase that also inhibits CSF1R, in a patient with dtTGCT showed complete tumor response. Subsequent data with imatinib and nilotinib only showed modest activity. Somewhat simultaneously to the discovery of the role of CSF1 in dtTGCT, a newer generation of specific and highly potent inhibitors of the CSF1/CSF1R axis were developed to exploit these drugs in immunooncology. Two potent and specific CSF1R inhibitors, pexidartinib and emacuzuimb, are in varying stages of clinical trials. These trials are demonstrating dramatic and durable responses with...
symptomatic improvement in dTGCTs.

A pivotal, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study with pexidartinib (NCT02371369) is ongoing. Early data suggest that CSF1R inhibitors do not yet appear curative for the majority of patients. Patients also can have variable decreases in tumor burden—some with dramatic responses within the first few months of therapy. Regardless of radiographic response, many patients have a significant reduction in symptoms with the initiation of therapy that, along with joint preservation, may arguably be one of the most important aspects of treatment.42,48,50–52

All of these situations highlight continued questions about the proper application of CSF1R inhibitors in dTGCTs. Moving forward, establishment of the timing for treatment initiation will be paramount. Considerations could include earlier application before the development of a substantial tumor burden or before joint destruction occurs.

Key points

- Regardless of radiographic response, many patients have a significant reduction in symptoms with the initiation of therapy that, along with joint preservation, may arguably be one of the most important aspects of treatment.

- The ability to pursue drug development in DT, PVNS, and GCTB has unmasked some interesting dilemmas in these rare diseases.

Challenges and future directions

The ability to pursue drug development in DT, PVNS, and GCTB has unmasked some interesting dilemmas in these rare diseases. Essentially, the presence of active drugs that have a regulatory approval strategy has tested the medical community about the true knowledge of these diseases to inform clinical trial end points. This knowledge included an understanding of the natural history and variable clinical course of the disease, how to appropriately apply the medications (and in which setting and patient population), how to judge meaningful efficacy, and how to establish appropriate risk tolerance for physicians and patients alike.
Overall survival is the gold-standard primary end point in oncology clinical trials. In a disease like DT, PVNS, and/or GCTB, this is not an appropriate end point because of the low mortality. Therefore, alternate surrogate end points for drug efficacy include response rates, progression-free survival, and avoidance of morbid therapies. It is critical to understand that these end points are surrogates for something that is clinically meaningful, such as improvement in quality of life. The challenges are to identify the most relevant symptoms for each of these diseases and to develop tools that are capable of quantifying these changes to assess clinical benefit. A challenge in the use of existing generic oncology tools for quality of life as a primary or key end point is that these tools are not validated for these specific diseases and, therefore, are not acceptable to regulatory agencies. Therefore, it is essential to develop and incorporate patient-reported outcome (PRO) tools to use as novel end points in clinical trials.

The first prospectively developed PRO tool in DTs has been developed and has identified 11 symptoms and 17 psychosocial parameters as critical to the patient experience. This tool is undergoing validation in prospective trials. Similarly, a dtTGCT-specific PRO instrument was created. This was applied initially in the dtTGCT expansion cohort of the pexidartinib phase I trial. It also was incorporated into the pivotal phase III study of pexidartinib as a close secondary end point along with other subjective and objective assessment tools, including the Brief Pain Inventory, Worst Pain Numeric Rating Scale, the PRO Measurement Information System Physical Function Scale, the Worst Stiffness Numeric Rating Scale, and independent and blinded goniometry testing.

Response rates and progression-free survival may serve as surrogate end points for overall survival in certain malignancies. Given the infiltrative nature of DT, PVNS, and GCTB, tumor shrinkage can have a direct impact on PRO parameters and other clinical benefits, such as avoidance of mutilation from surgeries and avoidance of threats to vital organs. Therefore, accurate radiographic measurements by existing standards, such as RECIST version 1.1 or WHO, is critical when response rates are used as key end points. It is abundantly clear that it is challenging to accurately and reproducibly measure tumors that are asymmetric and that infiltrate multiple layers of fascia, neurovascular bundles, and complex joint spaces. Therefore, it is critical to develop and validate appropriate imaging techniques that can accurately assess the clinical efficacy of drugs. In a retrospective study of 79 patients with DTs who were treated with sorafenib, the response rates varied between RECIST (18%) and WHO (26%) criteria. Choice of the correct imaging criteria has profound implications on trial end points and success and failure of drugs. After these issues were recognized, a novel imaging modality was created specifically for dtTGCT and was incorporated into the phase I and ongoing phase III pexidartinib trials. The tumor volume score (TVS), an magnetic resonance imaging–based tool, calculates tumor volume as a percentage of the entire synovium. Beyond dimensional measurements, other imaging characteristics may serve as novel end points for drug effect. Patients with DT who undergo effective treatment may have RECIST-stable disease but can show changes in the magnetic resonance imaging T2 signal, which suggests a transformation from a cellular to a collagenous mass or scar tissue (Figure 4A and B).

Denosumab, pexidartinib, and sorafenib or liposomal doxorubicin have shown promising signals, including high response rates and/or prolonged progression-free survival, in GCTB, PVNS, and DT (Figure 5). However, these drugs do not appear curative, and there is emerging evidence that cessation of drugs may result in recurrence. Therefore, these drugs currently are used continuously and indefinitely. Because these are generally non–life-threatening diseases, an answer to the question of treatment duration is essential. Therefore, accurate radiographic measurements by existing standards, such as RECIST version 1.1 or WHO, is critical when response rates are used as key end points. It is abundantly clear that it is challenging to accurately and reproducibly measure tumors that are asymmetric and that infiltrate multiple layers of fascia, neurovascular bundles, and complex joint spaces. Therefore, it is critical to develop and validate appropriate imaging techniques that can accurately assess the clinical efficacy of drugs. In a retrospective study of 79 patients with DTs who were treated with sorafenib, the response rates varied between RECIST (18%) and WHO (26%) criteria.

The challenges are to identify the most relevant symptoms for each of these diseases and to develop tools that are capable of quantifying these changes to assess clinical benefit.

A challenge in the use of existing generic oncology tools for quality of life as a primary or key end point is that these tools are not validated for these specific diseases.

The first prospectively developed PRO tool in DTs has been developed and has identified 11 symptoms and 17 psychosocial parameters as critical to the patient experience.

This tool is undergoing validation in prospective trials. Similarly, a dtTGCT-specific PRO instrument was created. This was applied initially in the dtTGCT expansion cohort of the pexidartinib phase I trial.

It is abundantly clear that it is challenging to accurately and reproducibly measure tumors that are asymmetric and that infiltrate multiple layers of fascia, neurovascular bundles, and complex joint spaces.

In a retrospective study of 79 patients with DTs who were treated with sorafenib, the response rates varied between RECIST (18%) and WHO (26%) criteria.
FIGURE 4  Challenges in accurate imaging of tumors to access efficacy of drugs. (A) Baseline pretreatment magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan shows high T1 signal indicative of an active, cellular tumor. (B) Post-sorafenib MRI scan that shows a minimal decrease in tumor size but a significant decrease in T1 signal, which suggests a decrease in cellularity and an increase in collagen or tissue scarring.

FIGURE 5  Treatment response. Positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) scan of giant cell tumor of bone (A) at presentation (ie, baseline) and (B) after 8 weeks of denosumab, which shows minimal decrease in size but significant decrease in fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) avidity. (C) A deep mesenteric and abdominal desmoid tumor (C) at baseline experienced (D) a dramatic response to sequential sorafenib (5 weeks, discontinued for hypertension) followed by liposomal doxorubicin (two cycles). PET/CT of recurrent pigmented villonodular synovitis (E) at presentation and (F) after 3 months of imatinib that shows significant decrease in tumor size and FDG avidity. Images courtesy of David Thomas, MBBS, PhD, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Darlinghurst, Australia; Robert Lefkowitz, MD, Department of Radiology, and Marylou Keohan, MD, Department of Medical Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; and Vinod Ravi, MD, Department of Medical Oncology, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX.
The long-term physiologic, psychological, and financial impacts on young adults, who may be treated for decades, must be weighed against the morbidity of the disease. Because of the number and kinetics of the neoplastic clone, it is uncertain whether DT, PVNS, and GCTB are susceptible to the selective pressure of continued and prolonged use of these inhibitors. In addition, given the high response and progression-free survival rates, the question is whether a therapeutic ceiling has been hit in PVNS or GCTB. Given this question, newer agents need to demonstrate superior efficacy, improved cure rates, lower acute and chronic toxicities, convenience in dosing schedules, and more. Although preoperative use of tyrosine kinases inhibitors or chemotherapy does appear to facilitate surgical approaches in large tumors at difficult sites, there are little prospective data to guide their use in the neoadjuvant and/or adjuvant setting.

Finally, we must continue to advance our understanding of the biology of these infiltrative connective tissue tumors. Genetic studies have shown few molecular aberrations beyond CTNNB1, H3F3A, or CSF1R and, in fact, these tumors have the lowest tumor mutational burdens among all connective tissue tumors.54 These diseases serve as good human models to study these specific pathways. Conversely, despite a single molecular driver, the variability in clinical behavior suggests that additional signal pathways or growth factors may be involved. Additional studies can establish prognostic and predictive markers and enhance therapeutic options either through combination strategies or through the development of novel drugs.

Key points

- Finally, we must continue to advance our understanding of the biology of these infiltrative connective tissue tumors.
- Genetic studies have shown few molecular aberrations beyond CTNNB1, H3F3A, or CSF1R and, in fact, these tumors have the lowest tumor mutational burdens among all connective tissue tumors.
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